1				
1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California			
2	ALFREDO TERRAZAS Senior Assistant Attorney General			
3	GREGORY J. SALUTE, State Bar No. 164015 Supervising Deputy Attorney General			
4	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013			
5	Telephone: (213) 897-2520 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804			
6	Attorneys for Complainant			
7				
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS			
9	FOR THE BUREAU OF AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
10	STATE OF CALIF	ORNA		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No.	79/09-67	
12	YSTUNOCAL, INC., DBA			
13	Y S 76 AUTO CARE 801 S. Hoover Street	ACCUS	ATION	
14	Los Angeles, California 90005-1202 SUNG BEA LEE, PRESIDENT	SMOG	CHECK	
15	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 182000			
16	Smog Check Station License No. RC 182000			
17	Respondent.			
18				
19	Sherry Mehl ("Complainant") alleges:			
20	<u>PARTIES</u>			
21	1. Complainant brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the			
22	Chief of the Bureau of Automotive Repair ("Bureau"), Department of Consumer Affairs.			
23	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration			
24	2. On or about April 7, 1995, the Bureau issued Automotive Repair Dealer			
25	Registration Number ARD 182000 ("registration") to Y S T Unocal, Inc. ("Respondent") doing			
26	business as Y S 76 Auto Care with Sung Bea Lee as President. The registration will expire on			
27	January 31, 2009, unless renewed.			
28	///			

Smog Check Station License

3. On or about April 18, 1995, the Bureau issued Smog Check Station
License Number RC 182000 ("station license") to Respondent. The station license will expire on
January 31, 2009, unless renewed.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 4. Section 9884.7 of the Business and Professions Code ("Code") states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) The director, where the automotive repair dealer cannot show there was a bona fide error, may refuse to validate, or may invalidate temporarily or permanently, the registration of an automotive repair dealer for any of the following acts or omissions related to the conduct of the business of the automotive repair dealer, which are done by the automotive repair dealer or any automotive technician, employee, partner, officer, or member of the automotive repair dealer.
 - (1) Making or authorizing in any manner or by any means whatever any statement written or oral which is untrue or misleading, and which is known, or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known, to be untrue or misleading.
 - (4) Any other conduct which constitutes fraud.
 - (b) Except as provided for in subdivision (c), if an automotive repair dealer operates more than one place of business in this state, the director pursuant to subdivision (a) shall only invalidate temporarily or permanently the registration of the specific place of business which has violated any of the provisions of this chapter. This violation, or action by the director, shall not affect in any manner the right of the automotive repair dealer to operate his or her other places of business.
 - (c) Notwithstanding subdivision (b), the director may invalidate temporarily or permanently, the registration for all places of business operated in this state by an automotive repair dealer upon a finding that the automotive repair dealer has, or is, engaged in a course of repeated and willful violations of this chapter, or regulations adopted pursuant to it.
- 5. Code section 9884.13 provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a valid registration shall not deprive the director or chief of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against an automotive repair dealer or to render a decision invalidating a registration temporarily or permanently.
- 6. Code section 477 provides, in pertinent part, that "Board" includes "bureau," "commission," "committee," "department," "division," "examining committee,"

///

UNDERCOVER OPERATION - AUGUST 26, 2008

Jim McCoy ("operator") drove a Bureau-documented 1995 Chrysler Sebring to Respondent for a smog inspection. The vehicle could not pass a smog inspection because the vehicle's positive crankcase ventilation ("PCV") system was missing. Tommy J. Lee, a licensed technician, performed the smog inspection and issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No. NC985430, certifying that he had tested and inspected the 1995 Chrysler Sebring and that the vehicle was in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In fact, the vehicle could not have passed the visual portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's PCV system was missing. The Bureau issued a citation to Tommy J. Lee for performing an improper smog inspection.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Untrue or Misleading Statements)

13. Respondent's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that on or about August 26, 2008, Respondent made or authorized statements which it knew, or in the exercise of reasonable care, it should have known to be untrue or misleading by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. NC985430 for the 1995 Chrysler Sebring, certifying that the vehicle was in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In fact, the vehicle could not have passed the visual portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's PCV system was missing.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Fraud)

14. Respondent's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that on or about August 26, 2008, it committed acts which constitute fraud by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. NC985430 for the 1995 Chrysler Sebring without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

28 | ///

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

- 15. Respondent's station license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that on or about August 26, 2008, regarding the 1995 Chrysler Sebring, Respondent failed to comply with the following sections of that Code:
- a. <u>Section 44012, subdivision (a):</u> Respondent failed to determine that all emission control devices and systems required by law were installed and functioning correctly in accordance with test procedures.
- b. <u>Section 44012, subdivision (f)</u>: Respondent failed to perform emission control tests on those vehicles in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.
- c. <u>Section 44015, subdivision (b)</u>: Respondent issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No. NC985430 for that vehicle without properly testing and inspecting the vehicle to determine if it was in compliance with Health & Safety Code section 44012.
- d. <u>Section 44059</u>: Respondent willfully made false entries for electronic Certificate of Compliance No. NC985430 by certifying that the vehicle had been inspected as required when, in fact, it had not.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

- 16. Respondent's station license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that on or about August 26, 2008, regarding the 1995 Chrysler Sebring, Respondent failed to comply with provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, as follows:
- a. <u>Section 3340.24, subdivision (c)</u>: Respondent falsely or fraudulently issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No. NC985430 for that vehicle, in that the vehicle could not pass the visual portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's PCV system was missing.

- b. <u>Section 3340.35, subdivision (c)</u>: Respondent issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No. NC985430 for that vehicle even though the vehicle had not been inspected in accordance with section 3340.42.
- c. <u>Section 3340.42</u>: Respondent failed to conduct the required smog tests on that vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)

17. Respondent's station license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that on or about August 26, 2008, Respondent committed dishonest, fraudulent or deceitful acts whereby another is injured by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. NC985430 for the 1995 Chrysler Sebring without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

PRIOR CITATIONS

- 18. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondents, Complainant alleges as follows:
- a. On or about July 16, 1996, the Bureau issued Citation No. C96–0476 against Respondent's registration and station licenses for violations of Health & Safety Code section 44012, subdivision (f) (failure to perform a visual/functional check of emission control devices according to procedures prescribed by the department), and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section ("Regulation") 3340.35, subdivision (c) (issuing a certificate of compliance to a vehicle that was improperly tested), for issuing a certificate of compliance to a Bureau undercover vehicle with a non functional exhaust gas recirculation system. The Bureau assessed civil penalties totaling \$250 against Respondent for the violations. Respondent complied with this citation on August 12, 1996.
- b. On or about January 29, 2007, the Bureau issued Citation No. C07-0490 against Respondent's registration and station licenses for violations of Health & Safety Code

section 44012, subdivision (f) (failure to perform a visual/functional check of emission control devices according to procedures prescribed by the department), and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section ("Regulation") 3340.35, subdivision (c) (issuing a certificate of compliance to a vehicle that was improperly tested), for issuing a certificate of compliance to a Bureau undercover vehicle with the ignition timing adjusted beyond the manufacturer's specifications. The Bureau assessed civil penalties totaling \$500 against Respondent for the violations. Respondent complied with this citation on April 10, 2007.

- c. On or about July 27, 2007, the Bureau issued Citation No. C08-0068 against Respondent's registration and station licenses for violations of Health & Safety Code section 44012, subdivision (f) (failure to perform a visual/functional check of emission control devices according to procedures prescribed by the department), and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section ("Regulation") 3340.35, subdivision (c) (issuing a certificate of compliance to a vehicle that was improperly tested), for issuing a certificate of compliance to a Bureau undercover vehicle with a missing fuel evaporative system. The Bureau assessed civil penalties totaling \$1,000 against Respondent for the violations. Respondent complied with this citation on October 22, 2007.
- d. On or about March 20, 2008, the Bureau issued Citation No. C08-0820 against Respondent's registration and station licenses for violations of Health & Safety Code section 44012, subdivision (f) (failure to perform a visual/functional check of emission control devices according to procedures prescribed by the department), and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section ("Regulation") 3340.35, subdivision (c) (issuing a certificate of compliance to a vehicle that was improperly tested), for issuing a certificate of compliance to a Bureau undercover vehicle with the ignition timing adjusted beyond the manufacturer's specifications. The Bureau assessed civil penalties totaling \$2,000 against Respondent for the violations. Respondent complied with this citation on May 1, 2008.

OTHER MATTERS

19. Pursuant to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (c), the Director may invalidate temporarily or permanently, the registrations for all places of business operated in this

	\mathbf{I}
1	7. Ordering Y S T Unocal, Inc. to pay the Director of Consumer Affairs the
2	reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Code section
3	125.3; and,
4	8. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
5	
6	DATED: 1/27/09.
7	
8	Muz Ml
9	SHERRY MEHL Chief
10	Bureau of Automotive Repair Department of Consumer Affairs
11	State of California Complainant
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	·
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

27 | 03562-110LA2008900517 YS76Auto.Acc (1/12/09)

28